

Elizabeth S. Waller by Benjamin D. Waller her next friend
against

Joseph B. Davis executor of Joseph B. Davis dec'd.

This day this cause was docketed by consent of parties, and with the report of the Court came up to be heard on the bill, answer and exhibits and was argued by Counsel. On consideration of the Court with a judge, order and decree that Joseph B. Davis executor of Joseph B. Davis dec'd out of the report of his Auditor *James to Benjamin D. Waller* guardian to Elizabeth S. Waller the sum of *two hundred and fifty five dollars* having five cents with interest thereon from the 21st December 1886 till paid; also the sum of *four hundred and fifty six dollars* and sixty one cents with interest on those hundred and sixty five dollars having seven cents paid thereof from 24th December 1882 till paid; also the sum of *three hundred and eighty dollars* sixty eight cents with interest from 26th day of December 1842 till paid and that the said Waller receive proper receipt to the said Davis for the sums decreed to be paid to him.

1885
In Chancery
Duff

On the motion of Jesse D. Johnson against William D. Boyd. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing by the oath of a witness that the defendant both had legal notice of this motion he was personally called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of *four dollars* and twenty five cents with legal interest thereon from the 25th day of May 1886 till paid, which amount the plaintiff paid as security for the defendant in satisfaction of an execution in favor of Henry Fowler; and also that the plaintiff recover against the defendant his costs by him in this motion on the behalf expended.

1886

In fa of

Elizabeth Atkins who sues for the benefit of Thomas J. and Jane M. Patton Executors of Thomas Patton.

against

Thurgood Law and Albert D. Villiers

A motion upon a bill
Duff had considered for

1886

In fa of

the forthcoming on the day of sale of property taken under execution. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing by the oath of a witness that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were personally called but came not. Whereupon the execution and bond appeared being produced and inspected it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for ninety seven dollars the proceeds of the said bond and his costs by him in this behalf expended. And the said defendant and Mary R. Boyd also judgment is to be discharged by the payment of forty seven dollars and fifty cents with legal interest thereon from the 15th day of July 1886 till paid and the costs.

John H. Fitzhugh who sues for the benefit of Spratley Horn Duff

against

John H. Teller and William Davis

A motion on a bill
Duff considered for the

1886

In fa of

forthcoming on the day of sale of property taken under execution. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing by the oath of a witness that the defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were personally called but came not. Whereupon the execution and bond appeared being produced and inspected it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff may have execution against the defendants for ninety seven dollars and his costs by him in this behalf expended.